

From: [NectonSubstationAction Messenger](#)
To: [Norfolk Vanguard](#)
Subject: Comments to the Secretary of State's letter on 6th December 2019
Date: 12 March 2020 10:13:38

Following on from the discrepancies mentioned by Colin King in his response:
<https://infrastructure.planninginspectorate.gov.uk/wp-content/ipc/uploads/projects/EN010079/EN010079-004244-Colin%20King%20-%20Response%20to%20SoS%20Consultation.pdf>

We have some additional questions we would ask of the applicant please.

How is it that the motorists along the A47 are assessed as having the same sensitivity as residents represented by other viewpoints?

Can the applicant provide clarity on the visual assessment process in terms of “value of view”, “value of receptor”, “susceptibility to change”, “sensitivity of visual receptor”, “sensitivity of view”, “overall sensitivity to change”.

Top Farm site was considered as an alternative site. Is this different from Top Farm being 'reviewed as an alternative site'? If so when was it reviewed?

Can the applicant clarify the heights mentioned in the comparison made between the Top Farm site and the proposed onshore project substation site (proposed site 65m to 70m and Top Farm 65m to 75m), in light of the dDCO secured “existing ground levels” set at 73m AOD (Scenario 1) and 72m AOD (Scenario 2)?

Thank you
NSAG